

Letters Patent Appeal No. 155 of 1996

मामला क्रमांक

सन 1996

88-17

घातिका पत्रक (पूर्वानुबन्ध)

घातिका का दिनांक तथा घातिका क्रमांक	इस्तानाकर बहिसत घातिका	आवधिकीत मामलो मे इस्टी रिजिस्ट्रार के प्रतिम घातिका
	<p>03.07.1998</p> <p>Shri A.M.Mathur, learned senior counsel with Shri A.K.Shrivastava, for the appellant.</p> <p>Shri Vinay Zelawat, learned Government Advocate for Respondents No.1 to 4.</p> <p>Shri L.P.Bhargava, learned senior counsel with Shri S.C.Agrawal, for Respondents No.5 and 6.</p> <p>None for other Respondents, who are said to be proforma parties.</p> <p>It is submitted that State No 6 Respondent is represented by Shri Sanjay Sath, Advocate from Jabalpur who had no knowledge about listing of the matter today. Accordingly Shri Vinay Zelawat, Govt. Advocate prays an adjournment for him.</p> <p>It is also pointed out by the learned counsel for the parties present that they were not supplied copies of the Opinions given by the respective Hon'ble Judges and also Reference Order and thus were handicapped in making their submissions.</p> <p>For all this, matter is adjourned. Learned counsel for the parties are required to approach the Registrar for obtaining the complete copy. In turn shall communicate to the State Advocate Sanjay Sath at Jabalpur.</p> <p>At this stage Shri Mathur prayed for an adjournment</p>	

उच्च न्यायालय, मध्यप्रदेश, जबलपुर

समिला क्रमांक

सन् 199

प्रादेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबन्ध)

प्रादेश का दिनांक तथा प्रादेश क्रमांक	दस्तावेज सहित प्रादेश - 1 - 2 - 1 -	कार्यालयीन नामनों में रिजिस्ट्रार के प्रतिम प्रादेश
	<p>of three weeks on health grounds as he had recently undergone some surgery. List on 24.07.1998.</p> <div data-bbox="302 1106 680 1393" style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; text-align: center;"> <p>RE COPY</p> <p>High Court of Madhya Pradesh Indore Bench Jabalpur</p> </div>	<p>UP</p>

आदेश पत्रक
LPA 155/96

मामला क्रमांक

सन् 199

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<p><u>24.7.98</u></p> <p>Learned counsel for parties on the maintainability of the reference. As it required further examination in the light of the objection taken by learned counsel for appellant Mr. Mathur that the difference of opinion between the Hon'ble Judges comprising the Division Bench lacked in basis, M/s Seth and Bhargava L/C for Respondents prayed for adjournment to enable them to examine the issue and to address the Court thereon. Meanwhile it is complained that Court order dated 3.7.98 requiring Registry to furnish the requisite copies of opinions of the Hon'ble Judges was observed in breach. Registrar is directed to ensure compliance of Court direction on or before 27th July, 98.</p> <p>List on 7th August, 98.</p>	<p>S/- B. A. Khan Judge</p> <p>be immediately scrutinized and acted upon before 27.7.98 unless the order is A. J.</p> <p>High Court of Madhya Pradesh Indore Bench, Indore</p>

SP/

High Court of Madhya Pradesh
Indore Bench, Indore